Description:

IDDS is under contract with the Social Security Administration to make determinations of medical eligibility for Social Security disability benefits. Function is adjudicating claims for SSA disability benefits by researching and analyzing medical and vocational evidence. Performance standards are established and monitored for quality, productivity and efficiency by the Social Security Administration through the Region 10 SSA office in Seattle.

Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:

- 1. To provide staffing, motivation, training, monitoring and feedback sufficient to meet or exceed national case processing quality goals.
 - A. Accuracy Cumulative Qtr.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
			93.5%
	Projected	l Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
95%	96%	96%	96%

B. Accuracy - 3 month rolling

	Actual Results					
1998	1998 1999 2000 2001					
			90.6%			
	Projecte	d Results				
2002	2002 2003 2004 2005					
94%	95%	95%	95%			

C. Average Pending Caseload Standard/Adjudicator

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
			105
	Projecte	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
105	105	105%	105%

D. Average Pending Caseload Achieved/Adjudicator

	Actual F	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
			102
	Projected	Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
105	105	105%	105%

E. Training Hours - total

Actual Results				
1998	1999	2000	2001	
			Not measured	
	Projecto	ed Results		
2002	2003	2004	2005	
60/mo.	60/mo.	60/mo.	60/mo.	

Gov's Off - Disability Determination Se Adjudicate Claims

2. To consistently provide case closures to meet or exceed national case productivity standards.

A. Six-mo. Case Closure Standard/Adjudicator

	Actual	Results				
1998	1999	2000	2001			
			390			
	Projecte	d Results				
2002	2002 2003 2004 2005					
350	350	400	400			

B. PPWY

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
			307.4
	Projected	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
284.2	286.0	300.0	300.0

C. Avg. Processing Time, (Days)

	Actual F	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
			65
	Projected	Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
60	60	60	60

D. Total Clearances

Actual Results					
1998	1999	2000	2001		
			14,760		
	Projecte	ed Results			
2002	2002 2003 2004 2005				
15,000	16,000	17,000	18,000		

E. Total CDR Clearances

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
			2,832
	Projected	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
3,350	3,550	3,550	3,550

F. Percent of cases over 90 days old

Actual Results					
1998	1999	2000	2001		
			4.6%		
	Projecte	d Results			
2002	2002 2003 2004 2005				
5%	5%	5%	5%		

G. Non-Federal Clearances

	Actual R	lesults	
1998	1999	2000	2001
			115
	Projected	Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
120	0	0	0

- To provide a well planned, organized transition to new hardware and software as approved by SSA, and to new or expanded facilities such that quality and productivity, and user satisfaction, are not negatively impacted.
 - A. Avg. hours of computer down time each month

Actual Results				
1998	1999	2000	2001	
			not measured	
	Projected	d Results		
2002	2003	2004	2005	
2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	

B. Facility Plan Completed

	Actual F	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
	Projected	Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
Completed	New Facility		

C. RFP Issued for software

	Actua	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
	Projecte	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
Issued			

D. RFP Issued for Facilities

	Actual	Results			
1998	1999	2000	2001		
	Projected	d Results			
2002	2002 2003 2004 2005				
	Issued				

E. IT Employee Hire Date

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
	Projected	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
Hired			

Gov's Off - Disability Determination Se Adjudicate Claims

F. Hours of New Case Management Training

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
			not applicable
	Projected	l Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
200			

- 4. To provide more convenient and accessible ways of communicating with claimants, with others in the disability business, and with employees, incorporating new technologies, so that transactions are completed more quickly, and claimants can conduct their business with less hands-on intervention or assistance.
 - A. Internet site approved and launched

Actual Results				
1998	1999	2000	2001	
	Projected	d Results		
2002 2003 2004 2005				
Approved and Launched	Maintained	Maintained	Maintained	

B. Intranet site developed and launched

	Actual	Results		
1998 1999 2000 2001				
	Projecte	d Results		
2002	2003	2004	2005	
Developed and Lauched	Maintained	Maintained	Maintained	

C. Interactive forms

	Actual R	lesults	
1998	1999	2000	2001
	Projected	Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
	completed		

D. Use of e-mail for claimant contact

	Actual	Results			
1998	1999	2000	2001		
	Projecte	d Results			
2002	2002 2003 2004 2005				
	completed				

E. Web-based Employee Time sheet

	Actual Re	sults	
1998	1999	2000	2001
	Projected R	lesults	
2002	2003	2004	2005
	Completed		

5. To provide succession planning and evaluation of attrition in order to have a smooth transition to new staff as may be required, and to develop, promote and retain valuable staff.

A. Attrition rate

	Actual Results				
1998	1999	2000	2001		
			4.5%		
	Projected	d Results			
2002	2003	2004	2005		
2-3%	2-3%	2-3%	5%		

B. Retirements/number eligible for retirement

	Actual I	Results				
1998	1999	2000	2001			
			1/1			
	Projected	Results				
2002	2002 2003 2004 2005					
1/1	1/3-4	2/3-4	2/3-4			

C. Number of vacant positions open for four weeks or longer

	Actual Results					
1998	1999	2000	2001			
			0			
	Projected	d Results				
2002	2002 2003 2004 2005					
0	0	0	0			

D. Number of positions descriptions evaluated and/or re-point factored

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
			0
	Projecte	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
5	2	0	0

E. Number of employee surveys

	Actual Results				
1998	1999	2000	2001		
			1		
	Projected	d Results			
2002	2002 2003 2004 2005				
2	2	2	2		

- 6. To provide better and quicker determinations of disability, and to avoid unnecessary medical examination costs by establishing, promoting and maintaining good working relationships with the medical community, to include Congressional offices in our educational efforts.
 - A. Number of CE site visits

Actual Results						
1998	1998 1999 2000 2001					
			1			
	Projected	d Results				
2002	2002 2003 2004 2005					
10	10	10	10			

Gov's Off - Disability Determination Se Adjudicate Claims

B. Number of MER site visits

	Actual I	Results			
1998	1999	2000	2001		
			1		
	Projected	l Results			
2002	2002 2003 2004 2005				
10	10	10	10		

C. Percent of cases with CE's

	Actual I	Results	
1998	2001		
			39.7%
	Projected	Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
42.3%	43.8%	44%	44%

D. CE Cost as a percent of total budget

Actual Results					
1998	1999	2000	2001		
			27%		
	Projected	d Results			
2002	2003	2004	2005		
25%	29%	30%	30%		

E. MER Cost as a percent of total budget

Actual Results						
1998	1998 1999 2000 2001					
			8%			
	Projecte	d Results				
2002	2002 2003 2004 2005					
7.6%	8.7%	8%	8%			

F. Claimant travel costs as percent of total budget

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
			2%
	Projected	l Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
1.4%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%

G. MPT for MER (average days)

	Actual	Results			
1998	1999	2000	2001		
			16		
	Projected	l Results			
2002	2002 2003 2004 2005				
12	10	10%	10%		

H. Avg. percents of "no shows" per month

Actual Results						
1998	1998 1999 2000 2001					
			5%			
	Projecte	d Results				
2002	2003	2004	2005			
5%	5%	5%	5%			

I. Avg. percent of rescheduled CE's per month.

	Actual R	tesults	
1998	1999	2000	2001
			8%
	Projected	Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
10%	10%	10%	10%

J. Average number of days for completion of CE

	Actual I	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
			14
	Projected	l Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
15	15	15	15

Program Results and Effect:

Providing accurate and timely disability determinations in accordance with national rules and guidelines, and appropriate information and referrals, through actions that reflect respect and compassion for those persons applying for disability benefits.

For more information contact Barbara Bauer at 327-7333.